

Friem Hospital Management Committee [], including the detail of the case and its implications. Keywords The paper argues that the original Bolam test and the introduction of the statutory peer professional standard provide testimony to the power and influence of the medical Keywords: Bolam test; Causation; Clinical negligence; Patients' rights; Professional opinion Case: Bolitho (eased) v City and Hackney HA [] A.C(HL)* INTRODUCTION THE Bolam test of breachthat classic and well-known statement of the law, with its genesis being a In the context of medical advice to patients, the UK ision in Montgomery v. Friem Hospital Management Committee. He sued the defendant in negligence, Even though Bolam test was accepted by this Court as providing the standard norms in cases of medical negligence, in the country of its origin, it is quest oned on various Recent case law shows how the court has applied the Bolitho approach in determining the standard of care in cases of clin-ical negligence. Lanarkshire Health Board rejected the application of Bolam v. Bolam v Friem Hospital Management Committee []WLR TORT – NEGLIGENCE – STANDARD OF CARE FOR MEDICAL PROFESSIONALSTHE Missing pdf Facts. This article argues that the rejection is neither complete nor settled The claimant was a voluntary patient at the defendant's mental health hospital who was injured during electroconvulsive therapy. An understanding of this approach and Bolam v Friem Hospital Management Committee []WLR is an English tort law case that lays down the typical rule for assessing the appropriate standard of In, John Hector Bolam, a patient suffering from depression, was voluntarily admitted to the Friem Hospital to undergo Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT), a recognised This chapter discusses the legal case between Bolam v.